UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/551,356 | 08/02/2006 | Katsumi Yamaguchi | 050635 | 6417 | |
| | 7590 10/20/2011 TOS & HANSON, LL | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1420 K Street, I 4th Floor | | VAN, QUANG T | | | |
| 4th Floor WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3742 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/20/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/551,356 | YAMAGUCHI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Quang T. Van | 3742 | | |

| | Quang I. Van | 3742 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiors: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(in Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the second of the second |). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office | e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | | ne issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | , | mnliant Amandmant (| DTOL 224\ | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpilant Amendment (i | -10L-324). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4 and 7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,6 and 8. | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | /Quang T Van/ | | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3742 | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In proposed claim 4, the term "said molten metal jetted form said discharge port is spherical molten metal droplets", has raised new issue. .